



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.               | FI                   | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/664,630                    | 0/664,630 09/19/2003 |            | Michael G. Hajack       | HAJACK803           | 5080             |
|                               | 7590                 | 03/01/2006 |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |
| JAMES J. CO                   | ONLON                | Ī          | KATCHEVES, BASIL S      |                     |                  |
| SUITE 2010<br>205 W. RANDOLPH |                      |            |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| CHICAGO, I                    | L 6060               | 6          | 3635                    |                     |                  |
|                               |                      |            | DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <del></del>  |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |   | 10/664,630   | HAJACK, MICHAEL G.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Basil Katcheves  | 3635   |  |  |  |  |
| 7<br>Period for R  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHOR WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply   | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is is pecified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8 133) |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ Th<br>3)⊡ Sir   | esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 D</u> is action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This nee this application is in condition for allowards and in accordance with the practice under E  | s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition  | of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) 5)   | e specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc  | wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er. epted or b) objected to by the B   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | er 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of 3) Information  | References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant has submitted an amendment dated 6/17/05 and an amendment dated 12/14/05.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "said cam means" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The applicant has amended independent claim 7 and changed cam means to guide means. It appears the applicant neglected to make the same change for claim 10. The examiner will examine claim 10 construing the cam means as a guide means. Correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to for being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim is drawn to a guard device subcombination but the dependent claims are drawn to limitations of the switch device, which is not claimed. This appears to be a combination. The claims will be examined as a combination device and bumper guard.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

Claims 1-5,7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,363,944 to Poirier.

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Poirier discloses a wall mounted guard for a device (light switch), the guard having deflection means (fig. 4: curved sides adjacent 26, where 10 points), the deflecting means extends to a farther depth than the switch, the guard also having a bumper means (fig. 4: farthest central portion 32). The guard allows unobstructed access to the device (switch) from the sides.

Regarding claim 2, Poirier discloses the deflecting means as being arcuate (fig. 3: see profile).

Regarding claim 3, Poirier discloses the deflecting means as having a width which is wider than the device (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 4, Poirier discloses the guard as having a height greater than the device.

Regarding claims 5 and 8, Poirier discloses fasteners (22) securing the guard and in vertical alignment with the device.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,363,944 to Poirier.

Regarding claims 6, 9, and 10 Poirier discloses the guard as being placed in a vertical orientation to protect the switch which moves in a vertical manner, not in a horizontal manner. However, since the device (switch) and device structure is not claimed by the applicant, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the guard of Poirier by placing it in a horizontal orientation when used with switches which move in a horizontal direction, therefore the deflecting means would be in horizontal alignment. Resettable fuses are often found in horizontal orientations.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/14/05 have been fully considered but are moot under new grounds of rejections.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,630

Art Unit: 3635

2/16/06

Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

Page 6